

INSPECTION SERVICES FOR THE CITY AND CAMROSE COUNTY

PERMIT NO.		

	PRIVATE SEWAGE T	REATMENT SYS	TEM PERMIT	
Date:	Municipality		Roll #	Zone
Permit Applicant: ☐ Ow	vner Contractor			
Owner Name		Mailing Add	dress	
City	ProvinceF	Postal Code	P	Phone
Cell	Email			Fax
Contractor/Firm Name		Mailing Ado	dress	
City	ProvinceF	Postal Code	P	Phone
Cell	Email			Fax
Project Location Street/Rural A	ddress			
LotBlock	Plan	Section	Township	RangeW4
INSTALLATION DETAILS				-
TYPE OF OCCUPANCY	TYPE OF WORK	INSTALL	ATION	TREATMENT DISPOSAL METHODS
☐ Single Residential ☐ Commercial ☐ Industrial ☐ Offsite Manufactured Home ☐ Shop ☐ Accessory Building ☐ Other:	□ New □ Renovation □ Subdivision □ Other:	□ New □ Alteration Expected Volume or □ m³/day □ litres/day □ gallons/day (not to exceed 25 m³/day # of bedrooms: (residential including base development)	()	☐ Septic Tank ☐ Holding Tank ☐ Treatment Mound ☐ Treatment Field ☐ Open (Surface) Discharge ☐ Packaged Sewage Treatment Plant ☐ At-Grade ☐ Privy ☐ Other:
protected under Part 2 of that Act and section 63 of and nature of the permit may be included on reports	the Safety Codes Act. It will be used for processing s provided to a municipality or made available to the	permit applications, issuing per he public as required or allowed	mits, safety codes complian d by legislation. Personal inf	edom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act and will be ce monitoring and verification. The name of the permit holder formation may also be used by the city of Camrose to conduct his application to the City of Camrose FOIPP Coordinator at
Certified Installer's Name (Print)	Certified Installer's Sigr	nature	—— н	lomeowner Signature (homeowner permit only)
Certified Installer's PS#				y signing this application I hereby certify that I own or rill own and occupy this dwelling.
	0	ffice Use Only		
Permit Fee	SCC Levy (\$4.50 or 4% of permit fee, max \$560.00)		Issuer's Name	
Travel Fee (Includes GST)	Total Cost		Issuer's Signature	2
Credit Card No.:	Receipt No.		Designation Num	nber
	Expiry:		Permit Issue Date	
	SCO Designation No.		SCO Signature	

Private Sewage Treatment System

The following information will be required when submitting an application for a private sewage treatment system permit.

Site plan

Location of all buildings/proposed buildings and improvements Location of well/cistern and any sloughs or waterways, water courses and property lines.

Septic tank, sewage holding tanks or sewage effluent tanks shall not be located within

- a) 10 m (33 ft.) of a water source or water well,
- b) 10 m (33 ft.) of a water course,
- c) 1 m (3.25 ft.) of property line, and
- d) 1 m (3.25 ft.) of a building

Open Discharge

- 1) An open discharge system may be installed in a location that provides separation distances from the point of discharge of not less than
 - a) 50 m (165 ft.) to a water source includes water well, and or cistern
 - b) 100 m (330 ft.) from a licensed municipal water well
 - c) 45 m (150 ft.) to a water course except as required by Article 2.1.2.4.
 - d) 90 m (300 ft.) to a property line, and
 - e) 45 m (150 ft.) to a building.
- 2) The effluent discharge piping shall be buried to at least the point where the separation distances set out in Sentence (1) are met.

Treatment Fields

- 1) A treatment field, measured from any part of a weeping lateral trench, shall not be located within
 - a) 15 m (50 ft.) of a water source or water well,
 - b) 100 m (330 ft.) of a licensed municipal water well,
 - c) 15 m (50 ft.) of a water course, except as provide in Article 2.1.2.4,
 - d) 1.5 (5 ft.) of a property line,
 - e) 10 m (33 ft.) from a basement, cellar, or crawl space, ¹
 - f) 1 m (3.25 ft.) of a building that has a permanent foundation but does not have a basement, cellar or crawl space, and
 - g) 5 m (17 ft.) from a septic tank or package sewage treatment plant.
 - ¹ Note: Clause (1)(d) The 10m (33 ft.) requirement to a basement, cellar, crawl space is intended to protect excavations below grade from accumulating migrating effluent. A crawl space that is not below grade, or where the level of the ground surface at the soil based treatment area is below the level of the crawl space the separation required is 5 m (17 ft.) clearance, as it can be treated as a building without a basement.

Treatment Mounds

- 1) A treatment mound shall not be located within
 - a) 15 m (50 ft.) of a water source or water well,
 - b) 100 m (330 ft.) from a licensed municipal water well
 - c) 15 m (50 ft.) of a water course, except as provided in Article 2.1.2.4.
 - d) 3 m (10 ft.) of a property line,
 - e) 3 m (10 ft.) of a septic tank,
 - f) 10 m (33 ft.) of a basement, cellar or crawl space, and
 - g) 10 m (33 ft.) of a building that does not have a basement, cellar, or crawl space.

Private Sewage System Design Example/Template

Field

PREFACE (Version April 1, 2011)

This is an example design document for a septic tank and treatment field system. It reflects the information needed to demonstrate the design considerations for the particular site and system required by the Private Sewage Standard of Practice 2009 (Standard) have been made. Considerations needed for a particular site may go beyond those used as an example in this document.

This example document can be used as a template by editing or adding critical information to suit the particular site and system. This is an example only.

While it is preferable to use a consistent format to facilitate quick review, other formats of the design may be accepted by the Safety Codes Officer (SCO), if the design includes the required information that shows the necessary design considerations were made.

A design is required in support of a permit application. It includes drawings and supporting information as it applies to the specific design. This is the information a SCO will review to evaluate whether design considerations required by the Standard have been adequately made prior to issuing the permit.

Including the design in the operation and maintenance manual that must be provided to the owner, will simplify development of the operation and maintenance manual.

PRIVATE SEWAGE SYSTEM DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS AND DETAIL.

Joe Smith Box 1, Somewhere, Alberta

Legal Description of Property: SE Sec 9, Twp 71, Rge. 5, W of 6 Mer.

Lot 1; Blk 1; Plan 123450

Municipal Address: 19035 - Rge. Rd. 5

This private sewage system is for a 4-bedroom single family dwelling. The total peak wastewater flow per day used in this design is 461 imperial gallons. The average operating flow is expected to be 300 gallons per day.

The sewage system includes a septic tank and treatment field system. This system is suitable for the site and soil conditions of your property. The design reflected in the following applies, and meets, the requirements of the current Alberta Private Sewage Systems Standard of Practice (Standard). The system will achieve effective treatment of the wastewater from this residence.

1 Wastewater Characteristics

1.1. Wastewater Peak flow

The development served is a 4-bedroom single-family dwelling. Based on the characteristics of the home identified during the review the total plumbing fixture unit load in this residence is 21. This requires 11 lmp. gal/day be added to the peak daily flow. Fixture unit load is as follows:

- Main bath = 6 fixture units
- o Bathroom with shower off master bedroom = 6 fixture units
- Kitchen sink = 1.5 fixture units
- o Laundry stand pipe = 1.5 fixture units
- Bathroom in basement = 6 fixture units

Total peak daily flow used in the design is:	161 Imp gal/day
450 lmp. gal + 11 lmp. gal = 461 lmp. gal	461 lmp. gal/day

1.2. Wastewater Strength

Characteristics of the development were considered to assess sewage strength. No garbage grinders or other characteristics were identified that would cause typical wastewater strength to be exceeded.

	BOD 220 mg/L
Projected wastewater strength for the	TSS 220 mg/L
design is:	Oil and Grease 50 mg/L

1.3. Wastewater Flow Variation Considerations

The characteristics of this development indicate wastewater flow volumes will not vary substantially during the day or from day to day. As a result, no flow variation management is needed.

2 Site Evaluation Findings

2.1 Site Evaluation

The lot is 3.88 acres (1.57 hectares). The dimensions of the property are shown in the drawing attached in Appendix A. The adjacent land use is country residential development, varying in size from approximately 1.5 to 3 hectares. There is a water well and a treatment mound on the neighbouring property to the north and south.

Blueberry Creek runs parallel to the southwest property line. The southwest portion of the property has a 5% slope toward the creek. Seasonally saturated soils were found in the lower slope areas near the southwest property line. Line locates confirmed there are no existing utilities in the area selected for the system components. **The area selected for the system must be kept clear of any utilities to be installed.** No utility right-of-ways or easements were noted on the subject site based on a review of the survey plan attached to this design and as indicated by the owner.

The site evaluation assessed the area within in 330 ft (100 m) of all system design components. The selected treatment site is nominally flat. No significant setback constraints were noted. Pertinent features identified during the site review and the required setback distances are identified on the site plan in Appendix A.

2.2 Soils Evaluation

Three soil test pits were investigated on this site. Test Pit 1 is located where the owner preferred the system be located. This area has severe soil constraints requiring a treatment mound at more expense; however, this area could act as a back up if needed. Test pits 2 and 3 identified better soil characteristics suitable for the installation of a treatment field receiving effluent from a septic tank.

There is little variability between test pits 2 and 3 so they are adequate for design purposes. The location of the test pits are shown on the site plan in Appendix A. Soil profile descriptions of each test pit are attached in Appendix B.

3 Key Soil Characteristics and Effluent Loading Rates

3.1. Restrictive Layer Considerations

A restrictive layer exists at 7 feet below surface as indicated by:

- redoximorphic features mottling at 7 ft; gleying below 7.5 ft,
- saturated, sandy clay textured soil having massive structure at 7.5 feet will severely limit downward flow.

3.2. Limiting Condition For Soil Loading Rate Selection

The key soil characteristic affecting effluent loading is:

• Fine Sandy Loam (FSL) textured soil having a blocky, grade 3 structure at the depth of 24 to 60 inches.

3.3. In Situ Soil Effluent Loading Rate Selection

• effluent loading rate for primary treated (septic tank) effluent on this soil is 0.32 lmp. gal/day/ft².

3.4. Effluent Linear Loading Rates and Design Considerations

The soil profile characteristics do not require the application of linear loading rates set out in the Standard. However, this design minimizes linear loading as the laterals have been oriented to make the field long and narrow and at 90 degrees (perpendicular) to the assumed direction of the underlying ground water flow toward the creek to the southwest.

The trench bottom depth of this treatment field will be at a maximum of 2 feet below surface. For this level site the trench bottom elevation for the 5 weeping lateral trenches are the same.

4 Initial Treatment Component Design Details

Details of the initial treatment components required for this design are attached in Appendix C.

4.1 Septic Tank and Dose Tank

Details of the initial treatment components required for this design are attached in Appendix C.

4.1 Septic and Dose Tank Requirements

4.1.1 Septic Tank

The working capacity of the septic tank specified for this design is 1218 Imperial gallons. Appendix C includes specifications for septic tank Model ST 1218.

The minimum working capacity based on Table 4.2.2.2 of the 2009 SOP for this development is 951 lmp. gal [940 lmp. gal/day plus the additional flow of 11 lmp. gal].

Burial depth of the septic tank at finished grading above the top of the tank will be 4ft 6 inches. This tank is rated for a maximum burial depth of 5 ft 10 inches. Insulation of the tank is not required as the burial depth exceeds 4 feet.

4.1.2 Dose Tank

The dose tank (second chamber) has a total capacity of 670 lmp. gal. In addition to the single dose volume the tank provides approximately 220 lmp. gal emergency storage above the high effluent alarm setting. Specifications provided by the manufacturer are shown in Appendix C.

4.1.3 Effluent Filter

An inline 2-inch diameter Sim/Tech[©] model STF-100 effluent filter having an effective opening of less than 1/8-inch (3.2 mm) is used. When clean the filter is rated at a head loss of 0.5 feet at a flow of 80 lmp. gal/min. A one year service interval is expected with typical flow volumes and wastewater characteristics.

5 Soil Treatment Component Design Details

5.1 Selection of Soil Infiltration System Design

The system selected for this design is a septic tank and treatment field using 22 inch wide chambers and pressure distribution of effluent. To maintain the required 5 foot vertical separation to the restrictive layer identified in the soil profile the maximum depth of the trench bottom is 2 feet below grade.

5.2 Treatment Field Size

Trench bottom area:

Expected peak daily flow:

Soil loading rate:

461 lmp. gal/day 0.32 lmp.gal/day/ft²

Trench bottom soil infiltration surface area:

1441 ft²

The 22 inch chambers receiving primary treated effluent Level 1 that is spread over the trench bottom surface area using pressure distribution receives a 1.3 width credit, resulting in a credited trench bottom soil infiltration width of 2.38 feet.

Total length of trench bottom required:

605 ft

Layout consists of:

5 weeping lateral trenches

each 120 feet long.

The location of the treatment field on the property and layout of the laterals and are shown in Appendix A and D. The treatment field sizing worksheets are provided in Appendix E.

6 Effluent Distribution Design Detail

6.1 Effluent Pressure Distribution

Five 120 ft centre fed pressure effluent distribution laterals are used over the soil infiltration area. The calculations are provided in Appendix E on the pressure distribution worksheets. The pressure distribution lateral layout drawing is included in Appendix D.

6.1.1 Effluent Pressure Distribution Lateral Design

The distribution laterals are center fed resulting in ten 60 ft pressure distribution laterals.

- Each lateral is 1-inch schedule 40 PVC pipe.
- Each lateral has 12, 1/8-inch orifices drilled at 5 foot spacing.
- The laterals shall be installed to maximize the elevation above the soil infiltration surface and exceed the minimum 4 inches above the soil infiltration surface.
- Pressure distribution lateral piping will be supported at a maximum of 4 foot spacing.
- All orifices shall point up except every 4th orifice shall point down and be equipped with an orifice shield.

The design achieves a minimum 5 foot pressure head at each orifice, resulting in a design flow of 0.34 Imp. gal/min from each 1/8-inch orifice.

There are 120 orifices throughout the effluent pressure distribution system resulting in a **total flow** of **40.8 Imp gal/min**. An additional 3.3 Imp. gal/min is added for the ½ inch drain back orifice drilled at the lowest elevation of the effluent piping in the dose tank to achieve drain back of the laterals and supply piping.

Total flow from all orifices for this effluent pressure distribution system is 44.1 lmp. gal/min (53 U.S. gal/min).

6.1.2 Pressure Head Requirements

The total length of supply piping from the pump to the start of the pressure distribution laterals is 205 feet. The supply piping is 2 inch Schedule 40 PVC pipe. The allowance for equivalent length of pipe due to fittings is 69 feet of pipe. Total equivalent length of pipe is 274 feet. This is detailed in appendix E.

Pressure head loss due to friction

The friction loss through the piping at the flow of 40.8 lmp. gal/min is 10.1 feet of head pressure.

Other friction loss considerations required include:

- Allowance for head loss through the effluent filter under partial plugging is 5.5 feet.
- Allowance for pressure head loss along the pressure distribution laterals of 1 foot.

Total pressure head required to overcome friction loss is 16.6 feet pressure head.

Pressure head to meet vertical lift requirements include:

- A pressure head at each orifice of 5 feet.
- Lift distance of effluent from the low effluent level in the tank to the pressure distribution laterals is 7 feet.

Vertical lift and friction loss results in a total pressure head requirement of 28.6 ft.

Pump specifications:

Demands for this pressure distribution lateral system are 44.1 Imp. gal/min (53 U.S. gal/min) at 28.6 feet of pressure head.

The pump capacity must exceed these demands to allow for variations in the design and decreased pump performance over time. A Myers model ME 50 effluent pump (1/2 hp) is specified for this system. The pump specifications with the effluent distribution system demands plotted on the pump curve are included in Appendix C.

6.1.3 Effluent Dosing Volume and Control settings.

The volume of effluent in the 600 ft of 1 inch PVC lateral piping is 22.4 lmp. gal. The volume of an individual dose must be at least 5 times the volume of the pressure distribution laterals, which is 112.2 lmp. gal.

The volume in the 205 ft of 2 inch PVC effluent supply line is 30.1 lmp. gal.

Total individual dose volume determining float settings is 142.3 lmp gal [30 lmp. gal to fill the effluent supply line and deliver the 112.2 lmp. gal per dose].

7 Controls

All effluent level control floats will be attached to an independent PVC pipe float mast.

7.1 Effluent Dosing Float Setting

The dose tank dimensions result in 11.27 lmp. gallons per inch of depth. The float control elevations shall be set at:

- 12.5 inches between float off and on elevations (deliver 142.3 lmp. gal/dose).
- Off: 19 inches off floor of dose tank
- On: 31.5 inches off floor of dose tank

7.2 High Liquid Level Alarm

The high level alarm specified for this system is a JB Series 1000T (manufactured by Alarm Tech Inc.).

• Alarm control float is set at 1.5 inches above pump on elevation or at 33 inches above the floor of the dose tank/chamber.

8 Operation Monitoring Components

The following components are included in the system design. See detailed drawings in Appendix D for locations.

8.1 Monitoring Ports

Monitoring ports are provided at both ends of the sand layer to enable inspection of the effluent ponding depth that may result.

8.2 Pressure Distribution Lateral Clean Outs

Clean outs are provided at the end of each pressure distribution lateral with access to grade through an access box suitable for its purpose and anticipated traffic.

8.3 Sampling Effluent Quality

Samples of the effluent can be taken from the effluent dose chamber.

9 System Setup and Commissioning

- Clean the septic tank and effluent chamber of any construction debris.
- Flush effluent distribution laterals.
- Conduct a squirt test to assess that residual head pressure required by the design is achieved and that the volume from each orifice is within allowed tolerances.
- Confirm the correct float levels and ensure this delivers the dose volume required by this design.

10 Operation and Maintenance Manual

The Owner's Manual detailing the design, operation, and maintenance of the installed system will be provided to the owner in accordance with Article 2.1.2.8 of the Standard.

Signature and closing by the designer/Installer.

Attachments:

Appendix A - Site Information [Site Plan, Property Subdivision Plan]


Appendix B - Soil Information [Soil Profile Logs, Laboratory Analysis Results]


Appendix C – Manufacturer's and Design Specifications for System Components

Appendix D – Detailed System Schematics and Drawings

Appendix E - System Design Worksheets

This design has been developed by (name of certified person and company name). This design meets the requirements of the Alberta Private Sewage Systems Standard of Practice 2009 unless specifically noted otherwise and in such case special approval is to be obtained prior to proceeding with installation of this design. (Carry on with any other qualifications or limitations that in your opinion as the designer/installer are needed.)





Page 8

Appendix B - Alberta Private Sewage Treatment System Soil Profile Log Form

Smith Residence Soil Assessment	ídence.	Soil Asse	ssment				n market and a second a second and a second			
				Lega	Legal Land Location			Test]	Test Pit GPS Coordinates	[·
LSD-1/4	Sec	Twp	Rg	Mer	Lot	Block	Plan	Easting	Northing	
SE	9	光	Ŋ	мем	12	H	123450	65032	34507	
Investigation Date:	1 Date:		Vegetat	Vegetation notes:	•	Overall s	Overall site slope %	Varíable across síte.	ss síte.	
October 5 th , 2009.	2009.		Praíríe	Praíríe grasses.		Slope po	Slope position of test pit:	2%		
Test hole No.		Soil Subgroup	roup	Par	Parent Material	Drainage	Depth of L	Depth of Lab sample #1	Depth of Lab sample #2	
Test Pút #1										

Hori -zon	Depth (cm) (in)	Texture	Lab or HT	Colour	Gleying	Mottling	Structure	Grade	Consistence	Moisture	% Coarse Fragments
∢ ,	Surface to 8 in.	Loamy Medíum Sand (LMS)	H+T	Dark brown.	Nowe.	Nowe.	Síngle Graín	0		Moist	30%
φ	8 to 45 in.	Fine Sandy Loan (FSL)	HT and Lab	Líght brown.	Nowe.	Nowe.	Blocky	m	∓ríable	Moist.	301
ъ	45 to 60 in.	sút Loam (SIL)	++	Líght brownísh grey.	At 4.5 ft saturated and gleyed.	4 to 5 ft many prominent distinct mottles noted throughout.	Prísmatic	а	Fríable to firm.	Moist to Wet below 4.5 feet.	<1%
೦	60 to 96 in.	Sandy Clay (SC)	+	Líght to dark grey.			Massíve	0	Firm	Moíst to wet.	%€>
Depth	Depth to Groundwater	4.5	4.5 feet.	Rest	Restricting Soil Layer Characteristic	Characteristic	San	vdy clay res ssíve and co	Sandy olay restricts downward effluent movement as massive and contains saturated conditions.	d effluent move d conditions.	ment as
Depth	Depth to Seasonally Saturated Soil		4 feet.	Dept	Depth to restrictive Soil Layer	oil Layer	4 feet.	et.			
Site T	Site Topography	₹ V)	Slíghtly undulatíng.		th to Highly Perm	Depth to Highly Permeable Layer Limiting Design		encountere	Not encountered in this soils assessment and design.	ssessment and	desígn.
Key S to sys	Key Soil Characteristics applied to system design effluent loading		e graín síze an tíned. It ís the	ualysís conducted Rey soúl horízon fo	by the lab for thú or effuent loadín	The grain size analysis conducted by the lab for this sample from 8 to 45 inches determined that the sand fraction in the Sandy Loam is fine grained. It is the key soil horizon for effluent loading design considerations.	ínches determí ns.	ned that th	e sand fraction i	in the Sandy L	oam is fine

Weather Condition notes: Slightly overcast with moderate wind – no rain or other conditions that would impact soils assessment were encountered.

Comments (such as root depth and abundance or other pertinent observations): This test pit location has limited suitability for an onsite sewage system. A treatment field is not acceptable because of less than 5 ft vertical separation. A treatment mound could be designed for this location if required.

Appendix B - Alberta Private Sewage Treatment System Soil Profile Log Form

Smith Residence Soil Assessment

			T P	I and I postion			Table	it CDS Coondinates
	-	4	377	Traile Pocation			1631	Test rit Gr 3 Cootuinates
LSD-1/4 Sec	dwl	Кg	Mer	Lot	Block	Plan	Easting	Northing
SE 3	开	ß	WeM	12	Н	123450	65024	34535
Investigation Date:	ate:	Vegetal	/egetation notes:		Overall	Overall site slope %	Varíable across síte.	ss síte.
October 5th, 2009.	9.	Praíríe	Praíríe grasses.		Slope po	Slope position of test pit:	Nominally flat.	at.
Test hole No.	Soil Subgroup	group	Pa	Parent Material	Drainage		Depth of Lab sample #1	Depth of Lab sample #2
Test Pút #2						30 -	30-36 in.	Appropriate particular and the second partic

Hori -zon	Depth (cm) (in)	Texture	Lab or HT	Colour	Gleying	Mottling	Structure	Grade	Consistence	Moisture	% Coarse Fragments
∢	Surface to 24 ún.	Loamy Medíum Sand (LMS)	<u></u>	Dark brown.	Nowe.	Nowe.	Síngle Graín	0		Moist	40%
स	24 to 60 in.	Fine Sandy Loam (FSL)	HT and Lab	Líght brown.	Nowe.	Nowe.	Blocky	M	Fríable	Moíst to dry.	5%
Þ	60 to 84 in.	Sílt Loam (SIL)	H-T	Líght brownísh grey.	None.	None.	Prísmatíc	и	Fríable to firm.	Moúst.	%T>
O	84 to 96 at end of test pit.	Sandy clay (SC)	HT	Líght to dark grey.	At 7.5 ft saturated and gleyed.	Fto F.5ft Many distinct prominent mottles.	Massíve	0	Firm	Moúst to wet.	<5€
Depth	Depth to Groundwater	7.5 feet.	feet.	Re	Restricting Soil Layer Characteristic	Characteristic	Mas eff	ssíve Stru uent move	Massive Structured Sandy Clay restricts downward effluent movement and creates saturated conditions.	clay restricts tes saturated	downward condítíons.
Depth	Depth to Seasonally Saturated Soil	ed Soil \neq feet.	Ψ̈́	Ď	Depth to restrictive Soil Layer	il Layer	7 feet.	žėt.			
Site T	Site Topography	Slíg	Slightly undulating.		pth to Highly Perm	Depth to Highly Permeable Layer Limiting Design		encounter	Not encountered in this soils assessment and design.	s assessment	and design.
Key S to syst	Key Soil Characteristics applied to system design effluent loading		The lab graín síze analy graíned. Thís ís the Rey	ze analysís of the key soíl h	the sand portion orizon the system	The lab grain size analysis of the sand portion in the Sandy loan soil from 24 to 60 inches determined the sand fraction is fine grained. This is the key soil horizon the system design must use.	. soil from 24	to 60 ínch	ies determíned	the sand frac	tion is fine
Weat	Weather Condition notes: Stabilia were evith moderate wind - no rain or other conditions that would impact call a accessment were encountered	Alfahtu ove	react with u	Andersto wind	- NO Vain. Or Other	CON difficial that was	in the contract	ile acceesi	IND NO GOVERN THE	hover	

Weather Condition notes: Slightly overcast with moderate wind – no rain or other conditions that would impact soils assessment were encountered.

Comments (such as root depth and abundance or other pertinent observations): Preferred trench depth is 18 to 24 inch. Roots extend to 6 feet (very fine at that depth) indicating no obvious limiting characteristic in the soil.

Appendix B - Alberta Private Sewage Treatment System Soil Profile Log Form

Smíth Resídence Soíl Assessment

				Legal	Legal Land Location			Test	Test Pit GPS Coordinates	S
LSD-1/4 Se	Sec T	Twp	Rg	Mer	Lot	Block	Plan	Easting	Ň	Northing
SE SE	5	光	5 WEM	WeM	12	7	123450	64964		34557
Investigation Date:	Date:	Λ	egetatio	Vegetation notes:		Overall	Overall site slope %	Varíable across síte.	oss síte.	And the state of t
October 5th, 2009.	.600	<u>A</u>	raíríe g	Praíríe grasses.		Slope pc	Slope position of test pit:	Nominally flat.	flat.	
Test hole No.	Š	Soil Subgroup	р	Part	Parent Material	Drainage		Depth of Lab sample #1	Depth of Lab sample #2	ample #2
Test Pút #3							35t	35 to 45 in.		


Hori -zon	Depth (cm) (in)	Texture	Lab or HT	Colour	Gleying	Mottling	Structure	Grade	Consistence	Moisture	% Coarse Fragments
∢	Surface to 22 ún.	Loamy Medíum Sand (LMS)	<u>+</u>	Dark brown.	Nowe.	Nowe.	Síngle Graín	0		Moist	45%
BI	22 to 63 in.	Fine Sandy Loam. (FSL)	and Lab	Líght brown.	Nowe.	Nowe.	Blocky	m	Fríable	Moúst to dry.	5%
3 22	63 to 84 in.	Silt Loam (SIL)	<u>+</u>	Líght brownísh grey.	None.	Nowe.	Prísmatío	а	Slíghtly fríable.	Moíst to dry.	84
೦	84 to 96 in.	Sandy Clay (SC)	<u>+</u>	Light to dark grey.	At 7.5 ft is saturated and gleyed.	At F to F.5 ft many prominent distinct mottles	Massíve	0	Firm	Moist to wet.	<2%
Depth	Depth to Groundwater	X.	7.5 feet.	Rest	Restricting Soil Layer Characteristic	Characteristic	SAI	ndy clay r massíve an	Sandy clay restricts downward effluent movement as massive and contains saturated conditions.	vard effluent i turated condít	novement íons.
Deptl	Depth to Seasonally Saturated Soil	ed Soil > feet.	eet.	Dep	Depth to restrictive Soil Layer	oil Layer	7 feet.	eet.			
Site 1	Site Topography	SL	Slíghtly undulatíng.		th to Highly Perm	Depth to Highly Permeable Layer Limiting Design		t encounter	Not encountered in this soils assessment and design.	s assessment	and design.
Key to sys	Key Soil Characteristics applied to system design effluent loading		The lab graín síze analı graíned. Thís ís the key	íze analysís of t s the Rey soíl hor	he sand portíon ízon the systen	The lab grain size analysis of the sand portion in the Sandy loan soil from 22 to 63 inches determined the sand fraction is fine grained. This is the key soil horizon the system design must use. Test pits 2 and 3 are consistent in their characteristics.	soûl from 22 Test púts 2 an	to 63 ínch d 3 are con	nes determíned usístent ín theí	the sand frac ir characteristi	cíon ís fíne es.
Weat	her Condition notes	: slíghtly ov	recast wíth 1	moderate wind –	no raín or other	Weather Condition notes: Slightly overcast with moderate wind – no rain or other conditions that would impact soils assessment were encountered.	uld impact so	íls assessn	nent were encol	untered.	
Com	Comments (such as root depth and abundance or other pertitests is fine sand. This is the soil horizon most affecting	depth and abı s the soʻtl hor	undance or or ízon most a	ther pertinent obs ffecting design o	ervations): The of the system w	Comments (such as root depth and abundance or other pertinent observations): The sand particle size in the Sandy Loam soil of the B1 horizon as identified by lab tests is fine sand. This is the soil horizon most affecting design of the system with the preferred trench depth from 18 to 24 inches.	the Sandy (ooh depth fron	08m soil c n 18 to 24	of the BI horizi inches.	on as ídentífic	d by Lab

(APPENDIX B)

Insert lab analysis results of soil samples taken for determining soil texture!

Appendix C - Manufacturer's and Design Specifications for System Components

Septic Tank Specifications and Float Setting Details.





Appendix C - Pump Specifications

Myers Model ME50 (1/2 Hp) Selected


Product Capabilities

Capacities:	120 GPM	454 LPM			
Shut-Off Head:	95 ft.	28.9 m			
Max. Spherical Solids:	3/4 in.	19 mm			
Liquids Handling:	domestic efflue	nt and drain water			
Intermittent Liquid Temp.:	up to 140°F	up to 60°C			
Motor Electrical Data:	1-1/2 HP 208/230/46 oil-filled, permar	5V, 1Ø, 1/2 to , 230V, 1Ø, 60/575V, 3Ø, nent split capacitor 50 RPM, 60Hz			
Acceptable pH Range:	6	5–9			
Specific Gravity:	.9	-1.1			
Viscosity:	28-3	85 SSU			
Discharge, NPT:	2 in.	2 in. 50.8 mm			
Housing:	Cas	t iron			
Min. Sump Diameter: Simplex Duplex	24 in. 36 in.	61.0 cm 91.4 cm			
Power Cord:	11	0 ft.			






Sample doc only, representation of trade names does not indicate preference to products - Page 15



Sample doc only, representation of trade names does not indicate preference to products - Page 16



Sample doc only, representation of trade names does not indicate preference to products - Page 17


Appendix E – System Design Worksheets

Primary Effluent Treatment Field

Trench Bottom Surface Area & Length Sizing

This design worksheet was developed by Alberta Municipal Affairs and Alberta Onsite Wastewater Management Association.

This worksheet	does NOT	comply with Alberta Pr consider all of the rec measurement througho	uirement	ts of the m	nandatory Standar		
Step 1) Determine the expected volu Note: Use Table 2.2.2.2.A. (p.30) & 2 of sewage per day. Provide allowand (p.32)	2.2.2.B. (p.	31) as a guide to determine	•		Expected Volume of	of Sewage per	
Assure that the sewage strength doe Effluent quality must meet the rec				30)	Day 46:	1	F1
Step 2) Determine the (design) soil e	ffluent loa	ding rate:			il Effluent Loading m >30 - 150 mg/L c	column]	
FSL &	BK Structure	& 3		jauri Jasori	0.32	Imp. gal/ sq.ft./day	F2
Note: Effluent loading rate MUST be A.1.E.1. (p.151). Note: Ensure infiltration loading rate	determined	from soil texture, structure	-		-	erial Table	
Step 3) Calculate the required infiltra	tion surfa	ce area for the soil BE	FORE are	ea reductio	on factors:		
Expected Volume of Sewage per day	_	Soil Effluent Loading Rate			Soil Infiltrati Requir		
461	-	0.32	;		144	1	F3
lmp. gal/day From F1		Imp. gal/sq. ft/day From F2			sq.ft	•	
		shall primary treated loading rates for seco					
Step 4) Type and width of trench bot	tom used:						
Actual Pipe & Rock Trench Width in inches.			ı 9 2				
inches	X inneres X	12				feet	F4
Actual Chamber Width in inches							



Pressure Distribution, Orifice, Pipe & Pump Sizing

This design worksheet was developed by Alberta Municipal Affairs and Alberta Onsite Wastewater Management Association.

The completed installation is to comply with Alberta Private Sewage Standard of Practice 2009.

This worksheet is for use in Alberta to: size the orifices in distribution lateral pipes, size effluent delivery piping, and to calculate the required capacity and pressure head capability of the effluent pump.

It can be used for: calculating delivery of effluent to laterals in disposal fields, mounds and sand filters.

This worksheet does NOT consider all of the mandatory requirements of the Standard. It is intended for use by persons having training in the private sewage discipline.

Note: Page numbers refer to the Private Sewage Systems Standard of Practice 2009.

Use only Imperial units of measurement throughout (feet, inches, Imperial gallons, etc...).


Step 1) Select the pressure head to be	maintained at the orifice	e.		
Minimum pressure at the orifice:	mamamou at the office	J.		
3/16" or less orifice = 5 ft. Minimum - 2	2 6 2 5 (1) (n 48)			
larger than 3/16" orifice = 2 ft. Minimum				
larger than 5/10 office – 2 it. Millimital	11 - 2.0.2.3 (1) (p +0)			
Design p	oressure at lateral orifices		<i>5</i> ft.	P1
Note: worksheet will not provide an adequate	design if laterals are at different e	elevations. Differing elevati	ons will result in a different	
pressure head and volume of discharge at the o	orifices in each lateral. Additional	l considerations must be m	ade f	
	encoded transferencies and transference and transference and an experience of the state of the construction of the			
Step 2) Select the size of orifice in the	laterals:			
otep 2/ ociect the size of office in the	iatorais.			
		Orifice Diameter		
Minimum size: 2.6.1.5. (1)(e) p. 46	1/8"	selected	1/8 in.	P2
		Selected		
Note: larger sizes are less likely to plug.				
Note. Targer sizes are less likely to plug.				
	in and the second second and the second seco			A TOTAL OF STREET
Sten 3) Select the spacing of orifices a	and determine the number	er of orifices to be in	stalled in distribution laterals:	
Step. 3) Select the spacing of orifices a	and determine the numbe	er of orifices to be in	stalled in distribution laterals:	
Length of Distribution Lateral	Spacing of Orifices sel		Resulting number of orifices	1
Length of Distribution Lateral From system design drawings	Spacing of Orifices sel design	lected for	Resulting number of orifices per lateral	7
Length of Distribution Lateral	Spacing of Orifices sel		Resulting number of orifices	P3a
Length of Distribution Lateral From system design drawings	Spacing of Orifices sel design	lected for	Resulting number of orifices per lateral	P3a
Length of Distribution Lateral From system design drawings	Spacing of Orifices sel design	lected for	Resulting number of orifices per lateral	P3a
Length of Distribution Lateral From system design drawings	Spacing of Orifices sel design	lected for	Resulting number of orifices per lateral	РЗа
Length of Distribution Lateral From system design drawings 60 ft. ÷ Select a spacing of orifices to attain ev Maximum spacings are determined for	Spacing of Orifices sel design 5 ven distribution over the tre	lected for	Resulting number of orifices per lateral	РЗа
Length of Distribution Lateral From system design drawings 60 ft. ÷ Select a spacing of orifices to attain ev	Spacing of Orifices sel design 5 ven distribution over the tre	lected for	Resulting number of orifices per lateral	Р3а
Length of Distribution Lateral From system design drawings 60 ft. ÷ Select a spacing of orifices to attain ev Maximum spacings are determined for	Spacing of Orifices sel design 5 ven distribution over the tre r: (e) (pp. 46 - 47)	ft. =	Resulting number of orifices per lateral	РЗа
Length of Distribution Lateral From system design drawings 60 ft. ÷ Select a spacing of orifices to attain ev Maximum spacings are determined for * 5 ft. Primary treated effluent: 2.6.1.5	Spacing of Orifices sel design 5 ven distribution over the tre r: (e) (pp. 46 - 47) 1.8 & 2.6.2.2 (c) (pp 98 & 4	ft. =	Resulting number of orifices per lateral	РЗа
Length of Distribution Lateral From system design drawings 60 ft. ÷ Select a spacing of orifices to attain ev Maximum spacings are determined for * 5 ft. Primary treated effluent: 2.6.1.5 * 3 ft. Secondary treated effluent: 8.1.	Spacing of Orifices sel design 5 ven distribution over the tre r: (e) (pp. 46 - 47) 1.8 & 2.6.2.2 (c) (pp 98 & 4	ft. =	Resulting number of orifices per lateral	РЗа
Length of Distribution Lateral From system design drawings 60 ft. ÷ Select a spacing of orifices to attain ev Maximum spacings are determined for * 5 ft. Primary treated effluent: 2.6.1.5 * 3 ft. Secondary treated effluent: 8.1. * 3 ft. On sandy textured soils: 8.1.1.8	Spacing of Orifices sel design 5 ven distribution over the tre r: (e) (pp. 46 - 47) 1.8 & 2.6.2.2 (c) (pp 98 & 4 (p. 98)	ft. =	Resulting number of orifices per lateral	
Length of Distribution Lateral From system design drawings 60 ft. ÷ Select a spacing of orifices to attain ev Maximum spacings are determined for * 5 ft. Primary treated effluent: 2.6.1.5 * 3 ft. Secondary treated effluent: 8.1. * 3 ft. On sandy textured soils: 8.1.1.8	Spacing of Orifices sel design 5 ven distribution over the tre r: (e) (pp. 46 - 47) 1.8 & 2.6.2.2 (c) (pp 98 & 4	ft. =	Resulting number of orifices per lateral	P3a
Length of Distribution Lateral From system design drawings 60 ft. ÷ Select a spacing of orifices to attain ev Maximum spacings are determined for * 5 ft. Primary treated effluent: 2.6.1.5 * 3 ft. Secondary treated effluent: 8.1. * 3 ft. On sandy textured soils: 8.1.1.8	Spacing of Orifices sel design 5 ven distribution over the tre r: (e) (pp. 46 - 47) 1.8 & 2.6.2.2 (c) (pp 98 & 4 (p. 98)	ft. = atment area:	Resulting number of orifices per lateral	
Length of Distribution Lateral From system design drawings 60 ft. ÷ Select a spacing of orifices to attain ev Maximum spacings are determined for * 5 ft. Primary treated effluent: 2.6.1.5 * 3 ft. Secondary treated effluent: 8.1. * 3 ft. On sandy textured soils: 8.1.1.8	Spacing of Orifices sel design 5 ven distribution over the tre r: (e) (pp. 46 - 47) 1.8 & 2.6.2.2 (c) (pp 98 & 4 (p. 98) 10 =	ft. = atment area:	Resulting number of orifices per lateral 12 120	
Length of Distribution Lateral From system design drawings 60 ft. ÷ Select a spacing of orifices to attain ev Maximum spacings are determined for * 5 ft. Primary treated effluent: 2.6.1.5 * 3 ft. Secondary treated effluent: 8.1. * 3 ft. On sandy textured soils: 8.1.1.8	Spacing of Orifices sel design 5 ven distribution over the tre r: (e) (pp. 46 - 47) 1.8 & 2.6.2.2 (c) (pp 98 & 4 (p. 98) 10 er of Laterals	ft. = atment area: Total Number	Resulting number of orifices per lateral 12 120	


Step 4) Determine the minu	ımıım nine ei	zo of the dist	ribution la	torales		CANA		
Enter the system design i	• •	the 3 boxes		istribution late	erals are d	of differing lengths, each	lateral mus	st be
Orifice Diameter	Le	ength of Dist	ribution La	teral		Total Orifices Each	Lateral	
1/8	in.	61		ft.		12	-	
From P2	[.	From System D	esign Drawi	ngs		From P3a		
Use Table A.1.A. (pp 140 - 143) when applying the information entered in this step to determine the minimum size of the distribution lateral pipe.								
		Si	ze of Distri	bution Late From Table	-	1	in.	P4
Step 5) Determine the total	flow from all	orifices:						
Total Number of Orifices in all laterals		Gal/min for e Head Press		~		Total flow from all orifices	lateral	
120	Х	0.3	34	lmp. gal /min.	155522 15593	40.8	lmp. gal /min.	P5
From P3b	_	From Tabl (pp 144						
Step 6) Select the type and	size of efflue	nt delivery p	ipe:					
			• • • • •	pe used for elivery line	Pip	oe size selected	•	
Use Tables A.1.C.1 to A.1.C decision. A larger pipe will r			P۱	/C		2	inch - NPS	P6
Choose a friction loss from T The pipe size selcted will aff							feet per seco	ond.
Step 7) Calculate the equiv	alent length c	of pipe for pro	essure los	s due to fitti	ngs:			
Insert total from Workshee Distribution Worksheet	t "A" on last p	age (p.5) of th	is Pressure	E		t Length of All Fittings 69.0 r Pressure Loss	ft.	P7

Step 8) Calculate the equivalent length of pipe from pump to the farthest end of header of distribution laterals for pressure loss: Length of Pipe for Friction Loss Length of Piping **Equivalent Length of Fittings** (ft) (ft) (ft) 69.0 205 + (C) P8 Equivalent fitting length Used to determine total pressure Length from pump to from P7. head loss due to friction loss in farthest end of distribution piping. header supplying laterals.

Step 9) Calculate the pressure head loss in delivery pipe including fittings: Total Length of Pipe Friction Loss per **Delivery Piping** for Friction Loss **Pressure Head Loss** 100 feet of pipe Divide by 3.F ft. 10.1 ft. P9 X 100 ft. From P8 Use Tables A.1.C. On pp 146 - 150 Don't forget to divide the length by 100 feet to match the using flow volume from P5. factors in the tables.

Step 10) Calculate the total pressure head required at pump: Delivery piping pressure 10.1 ft. From P9 loss Lift distance of effluent Measure from lowest effluent チ from effluent level in tank ft. level in tank to elevation of orifices. to orifices + Design pressure at 5 ft. From P1 orifices + **Explain Pressure Loss Allowed if Applied** Head loss allowed if an 5.48 A pressure loss of 0.48 ft across filter and 5 ft ft. inline filter is used in until alarm goes off. pressure piping + Add 1 ft to allow for 1 ft. pressure loss along the distribution lateral Total minimum pressure head pump must provide at 28.6 ft. P10 Imp. gal/min required to supply orifices





Step 13) Consider the pumping demands of the system. If they are considered excessive, redesign the pressure distribution system and recalculate the pump demands.

Worksheet "Appendix A" Determine Equivalent Length of Pipe due to fittings in piping system.								
Determine the	equivalent length of pipe	to allow for f	riction loss due to fittings	s in the pip	ing system:			
	Number of Fittings		Friction loss as per Table A.1.C.5 or 6 (p. 150)		Total			
90° Elbows	5	x	<i>5.</i> 7	genedica generali	28.5			
·					+			
45°Elbows		х		Bowers Boyesser				
·					+			
Gate and Ball Valves		х		Marcon No.				
					+			
Tee-on- Branch (TOB)	3	X	12.0	Berted Berted	36.0			
					+			
Tee-on-Runs (TOR)		X		MANAGE Manager				
					+			
Male Iron pipe Adaptors	1	X	4.5	MATERIAL STATES	4.5			
(M/F Threaded	d Adaptors)				=			
Total Equivale in piping syste	nt Length of pipe to allow m	for fittings	(Enter this total, B	ox P7)	69.0			

Primary Effluent Treatment Field

Trench Bottom Surface Area & Length Sizing

This design worksheet was developed by Alberta Municipal Affairs and Alberta Onsite Wastewater Management Association.

The complete system is to comply with Alberta Private Sewage Standard of Practice 2009

This worksheet does NOT consider all of the requirements of the mandatory Standard Use only Imperial units of measurement throughout (feet, inches, Imperial gallons, etc...)

Step 1) Determine the expected volu	ume of sewa	ge per day:		CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR O			
Note: Use Table 2.2.2.2.A. (p.30) &							
of sewage per day. Provide allowan	ce for additions	al flow factors as detaile	ed in Table				
(p.32)				E	xpected Volume o	f Sewage per	
				<u></u>	Day		
Assure that the source atropath do		# = mamuluamonto of O (204/20//2	20)			F1
Assure that the sewage strength do Effluent quality must meet the re				30)			
Elliuent quality must meet the re	quirement of	Article o. 1. 1.0(1)(a)	page 91.				
Step 2) Determine the design soil ef	fluent loadir	ng rate:					
otop 1/ Dotominio mo dough com c.	Huomi ioaa	ig iato.		Soil	Effluent Loading	Poto	
					>30 - 150 mg/L c		
				[1 10111	/30 - 130 mg/L 3	-	
8.	l 1	&	200	7/4 550		lmp. gal/	F2
	21					sq.ft./day	-
Texture	Structure	Grade					
Note: Effluent loading rate MUST be	e determined fr	rom soil texture, structu	ire, and grad	de classifica	tion according to Im	perial Table	
A.1.E.1. (p.151).		om contains an acti	al 0, wild 5.5.			porta. Laure	
Note: Ensure infiltration loading rate	chosen does	not exceed loading rate	es as set ou	ut in 8.1.2.2.	(p. 101).		
-							
Step 3) Calculate the required infiltr	ation surface	e area for the soil B	SEFORE ar	rea reducti	on factors:		
					5 U L 6U / /		
Expected Volume of Sewage		Soil Effluent			Soil Infiltration		
Expected Volume of Sewage per day	. –	Soil Effluent Loading Rate	ī	-	Soil Infiltration		
] ÷ Г						F3
per day	. L	Loading Rate	=	= [Require	ed	F3
	. L		=	= [ed	F3
per day	. L	Loading Rate	=	= [Require	ed	F3
per day Imp. gal/day From F1	J L	Loading Rate Imp. gal/sq. ft/day From F2	=	= [Require sq.ft.	ed	F3
per day Imp. gal/day From F1	At no time sl	Loading Rate Imp. gal/sq. ft/day From F2 hall primary treated			Require sq.ft.	ed	F3
per day Imp. gal/day From F1	At no time sl	Loading Rate Imp. gal/sq. ft/day From F2			Require sq.ft.	ed	F3
per day Imp. gal/day From F1	At no time sl	Loading Rate Imp. gal/sq. ft/day From F2 hall primary treated			Require sq.ft.	ed	F3
per day Imp. gal/day From F1	At no time sl	Loading Rate Imp. gal/sq. ft/day From F2 hall primary treated			Require sq.ft.	ed	F3
per day Imp. gal/day From F1 e Step 4) Type and width of trench bo	At no time sl	Loading Rate Imp. gal/sq. ft/day From F2 hall primary treated			Require sq.ft.	ed	F3
per day Imp. gal/day From F1	At no time sl	Loading Rate Imp. gal/sq. ft/day From F2 hall primary treated			Require sq.ft.	ed	F3
per day Imp. gal/day From F1 e Step 4) Type and width of trench bo Actual Pipe & Rock Trench Width in inches.	At no time sl	Loading Rate Imp. gal/sq. ft/day From F2 hall primary treated ading rates for seco	ondary tre		Require sq.ft.	ed	
per day Imp. gal/day From F1 e Step 4) Type and width of trench bo Actual Pipe & Rock Trench	At no time sl	Loading Rate Imp. gal/sq. ft/day From F2 hall primary treated			Require sq.ft.	ed	F3
Imp. gal/day From F1 e Step 4) Type and width of trench bo Actual Pipe & Rock Trench Width in inches. inches	At no time sl	Loading Rate Imp. gal/sq. ft/day From F2 hall primary treated ading rates for seco	ondary tre		Require sq.ft.	ed	
per day Imp. gal/day From F1 e Step 4) Type and width of trench bo Actual Pipe & Rock Trench Width in inches. inches Actual Chamber Width in	At no time sl	Loading Rate Imp. gal/sq. ft/day From F2 hall primary treated ading rates for seco	ondary tre		Require sq.ft.	ed	
Imp. gal/day From F1 e Step 4) Type and width of trench bo Actual Pipe & Rock Trench Width in inches. inches	At no time sl	Loading Rate Imp. gal/sq. ft/day From F2 hall primary treated ading rates for seco	ondary tre		Require sq.ft.	ed	
per day Imp. gal/day From F1 e Step 4) Type and width of trench bo Actual Pipe & Rock Trench Width in inches. inches Actual Chamber Width in	At no time sl	Loading Rate Imp. gal/sq. ft/day From F2 hall primary treated ading rates for seco	ondary tre		Require sq.ft.	ed	

Step 5) Calculate op		ŭ		•				
Primary treated	effluent is requir	ed to have	a minimum	n 5 feet Vertical Sep	aration belo			. 1.
						Cı	edited Width of Tren (feet)	ch
Dino 9 Dook Tron	ah] ,	4]
Pipe & Rock Tren	cn	Actual W	/idth in Feet	X	1		3 ft. Maximum	F5
		Fro	m F4			- 1		- 1
Pipe & Rock Tren Distribution	ch with Pressure			Х	1.2	ferbrai Berberi		F5
		granding angle against the contraction	/idth in Feet im F4			<u></u>	3 ft. Maximum	_
Chambers - Gra	vitv Feed			X	1.1			F5A
	•	CONTROL OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	namber in Feet				3 ft. Maximum]
Chambers - Pre	ecura	FIOI	m F4A					1
Distribution	ssui <i>c</i>	Width of Ch	namber in Feet	X	1.3	(mater) (mater)	3 ft. Maximum	F5B
		mg/990mbillion/consequence and consequence	n F4A	4		l		J
Step 6) Determine li	near feet of tren	ch require	d:					
Infiltration A	rea Required		Credite	d Width of Trench		Total Tre	nch Length in Field	
gert.	sq. ft.		Mode	ft.			feet	F6
434	m F3			F5, F5A or F5B				
Step 7) Select numb		iteral trend		_	f each of tre			
	ength Required ield			ber of Individual g Lateral Trenches			n of Each Weeping .ateral Trench	
	ft.	la Install M	gen grandelighengg tagyahing its sologa der ge til dysende selver				feet	F8
From F6				F7			CALCULATION CONTRACTOR OF THE CALCUL	
It is good practic	e to make the o	vorall field :	_	er Determination nd narrow when pos	ssible on a n	articular e	ito	
Step 8) Summary:	e to make the or	reraii ileiu a	area long a	na nanow when pos	saible off a p	articular s	ng.	
step 8) Summary:				4				
F1				Imp. gal/day - Da	ily Flow, incl	uding any	additional fixtures.	
F2				Imp. gal/sq.ft E	ffluent Load	ng Rate.		
F3				Sq. Ft Soil Infi	Itration Surf	ace Area.		
F4 or F4A				Ft Actual width	n of Gravel 1	rench or (Chamber.	
F5, F5A or F5B				Ft Credited W	idth of Grav	el Trench	or Chambers.	
F6			antinggging day the language antique de consegue	Ft Total trench	n length requ	iired.		
F-7				Number of weepi	ng lateral tre	nches.		
F8				Ft Length of e	ach weeping	ı lateral tre	ench.	
		***************************************	nn de stade for de globale and globale for the foreign and all and the foreign	1				

Primary Effluent Treatment Field

Trench Bottom Surface Area & Length Sizing

This design worksheet was developed by Alberta Municipal Affairs and Alberta Onsite Wastewater Management Association.

The complete system is to comply with Alberta Private Sewage Standard of Practice 2009

This worksheet does NOT consider all of the requirements of the mandatory Standard Use only Imperial units of measurement throughout (feet, inches, Imperial gallons, etc...)

Step 1) Determine the expected volu	ıme of sew	/age per day:		Transfer Trade (1906) almost Barrosch (1997) and all the			and the second s
Note: Use Table 2.2.2.2.A. (p.30) & of sewage per day. Provide allowand							
(p.32)	oo tor adding	na nov ractore as asta		2.2.2.0.	Expected Volume	of Sewage pe	r
				1	Day		7
Assure that the sewage strength doe	es not excee	d the requirements of 2	.2.2.1.(2) (p	30)			F1
Effluent quality must meet the re-	quirement o	of Article 8.1.1.6(1)(a)	page 97.	. ,		ggestalliden ljost - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	- **
Step 2) Determine the design soil ef	fluent load	ling rate:				nga manakakanni akakakan kangg	
		9			il Effluent Loading n >30 - 150 mg/L		
&		&] :	Maria Maria		lmp. gal/ sq.ft./day	F2
Texture	Structure	Grade					
Note: Effluent loading rate MUST be	determined	I from soil texture, struct	ture, and gra	ade classific	ation according to In	nperial Table	
A.1.E.1. (p.151). Note: Ensure infiltration loading rate	chosen doe	s not exceed loading ra	tes as set o	out in 8.1.2.2	ł. (p. 101).		
		_			,	and the second s	
Step 3) Calculate the required infiltra	ation surfa	ce area for the soil I	BEFORE a	irea reduc	tion factors:		
Expected Volume of Sewage		Soil Effluent			Soil Infiltrati		
Expected Volume of Sewage per day	1 1	Soil Effluent Loading Rate	7	ı	Soil Infiltrati Requir		,
	÷] :	=			F3
	÷] :	=		ed	F3
per day	÷	Loading Rate] :	=	Requir	ed	F 3
per day Imp. gal/day From F1		Loading Rate Imp. gal/sq. ft/day			Requir sq.ft	ed	F3
per day Imp. gal/day From F1	At no time	Loading Rate Imp. gal/sq. ft/day From F2	d effluent	loading ra	Requir sq.ft	ed	F3
per day Imp. gal/day From F1	At no time	Loading Rate Imp. gal/sq. ft/day From F2 shall primary treated loading rates for sec	d effluent	loading ra	Requir sq.ft	ed	F3
per day Imp. gal/day From F1 ex ex Step 4) Type and width of trench both	At no time	Loading Rate Imp. gal/sq. ft/day From F2 shall primary treated loading rates for sec	d effluent	loading ra	Requir sq.ft	ed	F3
per day Imp. gal/day From F1	At no time	Loading Rate Imp. gal/sq. ft/day From F2 shall primary treated loading rates for sec	d effluent	loading ra	Requir sq.ft	ed	F3
Imp. gal/day From F1 Step 4) Type and width of trench both	At no time	Loading Rate Imp. gal/sq. ft/day From F2 shall primary treated loading rates for sec	d effluent	loading ra	Requir sq.ft	ed	F3
Imp. gal/day From F1 Step 4) Type and width of trench bot Actual Pipe & Rock Trench Width in inches. inches Actual Chamber Width in	At no time	Loading Rate Imp. gal/sq. ft/day From F2 shall primary treated loading rates for sec	d effluent condary tro	loading ra	Requir sq.ft	t.	1
Imp. gal/day From F1 Step 4) Type and width of trench both Actual Pipe & Rock Trench Width in inches.	At no time	Loading Rate Imp. gal/sq. ft/day From F2 shall primary treated loading rates for sec	d effluent condary tro	loading ra	Requir sq.ft	t.	1

Step 5) Calculate optional credited	loading rates for	distribution systems:				
Primary treated effluent is requi	red to have a minir	num 5 feet Vertical Sepa	aration belo	w infiltratio	on zone.	
				Cı	redited Width of Tren (feet)	ch
Pipe & Rock Trench	Actual Width in Fee From F4	X	1	=	3 ft. Maximum	F5
Pipe & Rock Trench with Pressure Distribution	Actual Width in Fee From F4	X	1.2	=	3 ft. Maximum	F5
Chambers - Gravity Feed	Width of Chamber in F From F4A	X	1.1	=	3 ft. Maximum	F5A
Chambers - Pressure Distribution	Width of Chamber in F From F4A	X	1.3	=	3 ft. Maximum	F5B
Step 6) Determine linear feet of trer	nch required:			odanska lluvovetnigova strafta versina a = 4000		
Infiltration Area Required sq. ft.	: Cred	dited Width of Trench ft. rom F5, F5A or F5B	=		nch Length in Field feet	F6
Step 7) Select number of weeping I Total Trench Length Required in Field	N	nd Determine length of lumber of Individual eping Lateral Trenches	each of tre	Lengti	n of Each Weeping ateral Trench	
From F6	Des	F7 signer Determination	5200 5200		feet	F8
It is good practice to make the o	verall field area lor	ng and narrow when pos	sible on a p	articular s	ite.	
Step 8) Summary:						A 1977 A 197
F1		lmp. gal/day - Dai	ly Flow, incl	luding any	additional fixtures.	
F2	\$0000000000000000000000000000000000000	Imp. gal/sq.ft Ef	fluent Loadi	ing Rate.		
F3		Sq. Ft Soil Infil	tration Surf	ace Area.		
F4 or F4A		Ft Actual width	of Gravel T	Trench or (Chamber.	
F5, F5A or F5B		Ft Credited Wi	dth of Grave	el Trench	or Chambers.	
F6		Ft Total trench	length requ	ıired.		
F7		Number of weepin	ng lateral tre	enches.		
F8		Ft Length of ea	ach weeping	g lateral tre	ench.	

Pressure Distribution, Orifice, Pipe & Pump Sizing

This design worksheet was developed by Alberta Municipal Affairs and Alberta Onsite Wastewater Management Association.

The completed installation is to comply with Alberta Private Sewage Standard of Practice 2009.

This worksheet is for use in Alberta to: size the orifices in distribution lateral pipes, size effluent delivery piping, and to calculate the required capacity and pressure head capability of the effluent pump.

It can be used for: calculating delivery of effluent to laterals in disposal fields, mounds and sand filters.

This worksheet does NOT consider all of the mandatory requirements of the Standard.

It is intended for use by persons having training in the private sewage discipline.

Note: Page numbers refer to the Private Sewage Systems Standard of Practice 2009.

Use only Imperial units of measurement throughout (feet, inches, Imperial gallons, etc...).

to be	maintained at the orifice	25:			
Minimum pressure at the orifice:		,,,,			
3/16" or less orifice = 5 ft. Minimum - 2	2.6.2.5.(1) (n.48)				
larger than 3/16" orifice = 2 ft. Minimul					
larger than or to others 2 to Minimum	2.6.2.6 (1) (p 16)			I	
Design p	oressure at lateral orifices			ft.	P1
Market and the standard					
Note: worksheet will not provide an adequate pressure head and volume of discharge at the	_				
elevations.	omices in each lateral. Additions	ai considerations must be i	rade for laterals at differing		
					Control of the Contro
Step 2) Select the size of orifice in the	laterale:			the facility of the second	tanana and a sure sure sure
Step 2/ Select the size of office in the	ialtiais.				
		Orifice Diameter		I	
Minimum size: 2.6.1.5. (1)(e) p. 46	1/8"	selected		in.	P2
		Selected		!	
Note: larger sizes are less likely to plug.					
Note. larger sizes are less likely to plug.					
					J. 1800 (111 110 110
Step. 3) Select the spacing of orifices a	and determine the numb	er of orifices to be in	etalled in distribution la	atorale:	
otep. 0) beleat the spacing of offices t	and determine the name	er or ornices to be in		atorais.	
Length of Distribution Lateral	Spacing of Orifices se	lected for	Resulting number of	orifices	
Length of Distribution Lateral From system design drawings	Spacing of Orifices se	lected for	Resulting number of	orifices	
Length of Distribution Lateral From system design drawings	Spacing of Orifices se design	lected for	Resulting number of per lateral	orifices	
From system design drawings		1	_	orifices	
		lected for	_	orifices	P3a
From system design drawings		1	_	orifices	P3a
From system design drawings		1	_	orifices	P3a
From system design drawings	design] ft. =	_	orifices	P3a
From system design drawings	design ven distribution over the tre] ft. =	_	orifices	РЗа
From system design drawings ft. ÷ Select a spacing of orifices to attain ev	design ven distribution over the tre] ft. =	_	orifices	P3a
From system design drawings ft. ÷ Select a spacing of orifices to attain ev Maximum spacings are determined for	ven distribution over the tre	ft. = eatment area:	_	orifices	P3a
From system design drawings ft. Select a spacing of orifices to attain even Maximum spacings are determined for * 5 ft. Primary treated effluent: 2.6.1.5	design ven distribution over the tre r: (e) (pp. 46 - 47) 1.8 & 2.6.2.2 (c) (pp 98 & 4	ft. = eatment area:	_	orifices	P3a
From system design drawings ft. Select a spacing of orifices to attain even Maximum spacings are determined for * 5 ft. Primary treated effluent: 2.6.1.5 * 3 ft. Secondary treated effluent: 8.1.	design ven distribution over the tre r: (e) (pp. 46 - 47) 1.8 & 2.6.2.2 (c) (pp 98 & 4	ft. = eatment area:	_	orifices	P3a
From system design drawings ft. Select a spacing of orifices to attain even Maximum spacings are determined for 5 ft. Primary treated effluent: 2.6.1.5 3 ft. Secondary treated effluent: 8.1. 3 ft. On sandy textured soils: 8.1.1.8	design ven distribution over the tre r: (e) (pp. 46 - 47) 1.8 & 2.6.2.2 (c) (pp 98 & 4	ft. = eatment area:	_	orifices	
From system design drawings ft. Select a spacing of orifices to attain even Maximum spacings are determined for 5 ft. Primary treated effluent: 2.6.1.5 * 3 ft. Secondary treated effluent: 8.1. * 3 ft. On sandy textured soils: 8.1.1.8	design ven distribution over the tre r: (e) (pp. 46 - 47) 1.8 & 2.6.2.2 (c) (pp 98 & 4) (p. 98)	ft. = eatment area: 47 - 48)	per lateral		P3a
From system design drawings ft. Select a spacing of orifices to attain even Maximum spacings are determined for 5 ft. Primary treated effluent: 2.6.1.5 * 3 ft. Secondary treated effluent: 8.1. * 3 ft. On sandy textured soils: 8.1.1.8	design ven distribution over the tre r: (e) (pp. 46 - 47) 1.8 & 2.6.2.2 (c) (pp 98 & 4	ft. = eatment area: 47 - 48)	_		
From system design drawings ft. Select a spacing of orifices to attain even Maximum spacings are determined for 5 ft. Primary treated effluent: 2.6.1.5 * 3 ft. Secondary treated effluent: 8.1. * 3 ft. On sandy textured soils: 8.1.1.8	design ven distribution over the tre r: (e) (pp. 46 - 47) 1.8 & 2.6.2.2 (c) (pp 98 & 4) (p. 98)	ft. = eatment area: 47 - 48)	per lateral		
From system design drawings ft. Select a spacing of orifices to attain even Maximum spacings are determined for 5 ft. Primary treated effluent: 2.6.1.5 * 3 ft. Secondary treated effluent: 8.1. * 3 ft. On sandy textured soils: 8.1.1.8	design ven distribution over the tre r: (e) (pp. 46 - 47) 1.8 & 2.6.2.2 (c) (pp 98 & 4 p. 98)	ft. = eatment area: 47 - 48) Total Num	per lateral		

Revision Date: May 17, 2010 HO 112 - 02

Step 4) Determine the minumu	m pipe size of the d	istribution laterals:				
Enter the system design inforr	mation into the 3 boxe		terals are c	of differing lengths, each	lateral mus	t be
		considered separately.				
Orifice Diameter	Length of Di	stribution Lateral		Total Orifices Each	Lateral	
in.	.	ft.				
From P2	From System	n Design Drawings	ı	From P3a	uman siche Michigen der Schieder sich Schieder sich Schieder	
Use Table A.1.A. (pp 140 - 143	3) when applying the inforn	mation entered in this step to det	ermine the m	inimum size of the distribution	lateral pipe.	
	1	Size of Distribution Late	ral Pipe		l	n.4
		From Tabl			in.	P4
			Processing manufacturing strange collections of the strange state of the strange			an Calabatana a ser a com a ser a se
Step 5) Determine the total flov	พ from all orifices:					
Total Number of		r each Orifice		Total flow from all	lateral	
Orifices in all laterals	at Head Pres	ssure Selected	ľ	orifices	i .	
	X	Imp. gal /min.			lmp. gal /min.	P5
	Secretaria and an internal control of the secretaria designation o	/ IIIIII	Į,		//////	
From P3b		able A.1.B.				
	(pp 14	l4 & 145)				
Step 6) Select the type and size	o of offluent deliver	, ning;				
Step of Select the type and Size	3 Of efficient delivery	Type of pipe used				
		for effluent delivery	Pip	e size selected	_	
Use Tables A.1.C.1 to A.1.C.4 (p) decision. A larger pipe will reduce					inch - NPS	P6
doublett. Trialger pipe thin reads.	e presente teco.				- NFO	
Choose a friction loss from Table pipe size selcted will affect the an					eet per secor	ıd. The
pipe size seleted will allest the an	Hourt or motion 1035 the	s pump must overcome to de	iiver emuem	L.		
Step 7) Calculate the equivalen	it length of pipe for	pressure loss due to fitti	ings:			Anna Hancon School C
				The state of the s		
Insert total from Worksheet "A"	" on last page (p.5) of		Equivalent	Length of All Fittings	l <u>.</u> .	
Distribution Worksheet	on 16.57 hade (b. 5) - 1				ft.	P7

Revision Date: May 17, 2010 HO 112 - 02

For Pressure Loss

Length of Piping (ft)	Equivalent	Length of Fittings (ft)	Length of Pipe for Friction Loss (ft)	
	+			
Length from pump to arrhest end of distribution neader supplying laterals.	•	nt fitting length om P7.	Used to determine total pressure head loss due to friction loss in piping.	

Total Length of Pipe for Friction Loss		Friction Loss per 100 feet of pipe	_		Delivery Piping Pressure Head Loss	<u>s_</u>	
Divide by 100 ft.	x		ft.	States Secure		ft.	P9
From P8							
Don't forget to divide the length by 100 feet to match the actors in the tables.		Use Tables A.1.C. On pp 14 using flow volume from P5.	6 - 150				

Step 10) Calculate the total pressure	head required at pump:		
Delivery piping pressure loss		ft.	From P9
	+	-	
Lift distance of effluent from effluent level in tank to orifices		ft.	Measure from lowest effluent level in tank to elevation of orifices.
	+	-	
Design pressure at orifices		ft.	From P1
	+		
Head loss allowed if an inline filter is used in pressure piping		ft.	Explain Pressure Loss Allowed if Applied
	n d	_	
Add 1 ft to allow for pressure loss along the distribution lateral		ft.	
•		•	
Total minimum pressure head pump must provide at Imp. gal/min required to supply orifices		ft.	P10

Revision Date: May 17, 2010

Step 11) Select the siz total flow requirement	e of the drain back orifice if use for pump:	ed and determine the	flow fro	m the drain back orifice.	Then calc	ulate
Size of Drain Back Orifice	Determine flow using Head Pressure at Drain Back Orifice	Flow from all lateral orifices		Total Imp. Gallons per Minute from the pump		
in.	Imp. gal /min	lmp. gal /min	Interest Regulated		lmp. gal /min	P11
	Use pressure head from P10 to find flow from Extended Table A.1.B.1	From P5				

step 12) Details of the pump speci Required Flow Rate (Imp. gal/min)	fications required: Required Pressure Head (ft)	
@		Select the appropriate pump by reviewing the pump curve of available pumps. Select a
From P11	From P10	pump that exceeds the requirments set out in this step by approximately 10% considering both pressure head and volume.
Imp. gal (P11) multiplied by 1.2	Required Flow Rate (US gal/min)	conclusing sour process road and relation
= U.S. gallons		

Step 13) Consider the pumping demands of the system. If they are considered excessive, redesign the pressure distribution system and recalculate the pump demands.

Worksheet "/	Appendix A" Determine	Equivalent L	ength of Pipe due to f	fittings in	piping system.	
Determine the	equivalent length of pipe	e to allow for f	riction loss due to fitting	ıs in the pir	ping system:	
	Number of Fittings		Friction loss as per Table A.1.C.5 or 6 (p. 150)		Total	_
90° Elbows		х		10000 10000		
				1	+	' •
45°Elbows		х		Bonned Bonned		
		· I			+	I
Gate and Ball Valves		х		Accessi Accessi		
Tee-on-		[+	Ī
Branch (TOB)		х				
!					+	I
Tee-on-Runs (TOR)		Х				
Male Iron					+	l
pipe Adaptors		Х		150.4		
(M/F Threaded	d Adaptors)				=	
						1
Total Equivale	ent Length of pipe to allow m	for fittings	(Enter this total, E	Box P7)		

Alberta Private Sewage Treatment System Soil Profile Log Form

						#2		% Coarse Fragments						
	Test Pit GPS Coordinates	Northing				Depth of Lab sample #2		Moisture						
מתי ית ד	Test Pit GPS	Easting				e#1		Consistence						
						Depth of Lab sample #1		Grade						
		Plan			pit:	Dept		Structure					0.0	
	r			Overall site slope %	Slope position of test pit:	Drainage		Mottling			acteristic	/er	Depth to Highly Permeable Layer Limiting Design	
		Block		Overall	Slope p			Gleying		-	Restricting Soil Layer Characteristic	Depth to restrictive Soil Layer	hly Permeable	
			: 			Parent Material		Gle			estricting Sc	epth to restr	epth to High esign	
	Legal Land Location	Lot				Parent		Colour			R			
,	Legal	Rg Mer						Lab or HT						
		Twp				Soil Subgroup		Texture						to
ob ID.		Sec				Soi		ın)				iturated Soil		lics applied
Owner Name or Job ID		1/4		on notes:		No.		Depth (cm) (in)			Depth to Groundwater	Depth to Seasonally Saturated Soil	graphy	Key Soil Characteristics applied to
Owner]		LSD-1/4		Vegetation notes:		Test hole No.	The state of the s	Hori- zon			Depth to (Depth to §	Site Topography	Key Soil (

Comments: such as root depth and abundance or other pertinent observations:

Weather Condition notes:

Alberta Private Sewage Treatment System Soil Profile Log Form

Owner Name or Job ID.

				Legal La	Legal Land Location						Test Pit	Test Pit GPS Coordinates		- 1
LSD-1/4	Sec	Twp	Rg	Mer	Lot	BIC	Block	Plan	an		Easting	No	Northing	- 1
											O. D. C.			E
Vegetation notes:	S:						Overall site slope %	ope %						- 1
							Slope position of test pit:	of test pi	;;					- 1
Test hole No		Soil Suboroun			Parent Material	terial	Drainage	ge	Dep	Depth of Lab sample #1	ple#1	Depth of Lab sample #2	ample #2	1
103 11010 140.		drag grant in the control of the con						Di di	4				•	
Hori- L zon (cr	Depth (cm) (in)	Texture	Lab or HT		Colour	Gleying	Mottling		Structure	Grade	Consistence	Moisture	% Coarse Fragments	
														l
]
										-				
Depth to Groundwater	ater				Resti	ricting Soil La	Restricting Soil Layer Characteristic	iic						
Depth to Seasonally Saturated Soil	ly Saturated S	oil			Dept	Depth to restrictive Soil Layer	Soil Layer							T
Site Topography					Dept Desi	h to Highly Pe gn	Depth to Highly Permeable Layer Limiting Design	Limiting						
Key Soil Characteristics applied to system design effluent loading	eristics appli luent loading	ed to												
Weather Condition notes:	nofes:													


Comments: such as root depth and abundance or other pertinent observations:


Onsite Sewage System Site Evaluation Lot Diagram Field Sketch and Notes Lot or Legal Description: Project Name: Show the proposed ÎN location of the onsite sewage system and the following items indicating their distances from the proposed system: trees floodplains wells water sources surface water bedrock outcrops buildings property lines easement lines ditches or interceptors banks or steep slopes fills driveways existing sewage systems underground utilities soil test pit and borehole locations Test Pit P1□ slope direction borehole drainage course BH 1 Comments:

Property line GPS coordinates: GPS coordinates of well: GPS coordinate of tank:

GPS coordinates of soil treatment component corners:

Figure 4: Diagrammatic representation of soil structure





	SURFACE	STONIN	<u>ESS</u>
		Surface Area	Distance Apart (cm)
\$0 \$1 \$2 \$3 \$4 \$5	non-stony slightly stony moderately stony very stony exceedingly stony exceedingly stony	<0.01% 0.01-0.1% 0.1-3% 3-15% 15-50% 50%	>30 10-30 2-10 1-2 0,1-5 0,1

SLO	PE POSITION
c	- crest
u m	— upper slope — mid elope
!	- lower slope
ď	- tee - depression
ï	- level

	DF	11A5	<u>IAGE</u>
	VR	-	very rapidly
Ĭ	R	*	rapidly
	W	-	well
	M		moderately well
•	i i		imperfectly
	P		poorly
	VP		very poorly




Table 10. Types, kinds and classes of soil structure.

Type Blocklike - soil particles arranged around a point and bounded by flat or rounded surfaces BK	Kind (Kind Code) Angular blocky (ABK) peds bounded by flattened, rectangular faces intersecting at relatively sharp angles	Structure Class and Code VF: very fine angular blocky F: fine angular blocky M: medium angular blocky C: coarse angular blocky VC: very coarse angular blocky	Size ¹ (mm) <5 5-10 10-20 20-50 >50
	Subangular blocky (SBK): peds bounded by slightly rounded, subrectangular faces with vertices ² of their intersections mostly subrounded	 VF: very fine subangular blocky F: fine subangular blocky M: medium subangular blocky C: coarse subangular blocky VC: very coarse subangular blocky 	<5 5-10 10-20 20-50 >50
	Granular (GR): spheroidal peds bounded by curved or very irregular faces that do not adjoin those of adjacent peds	VF: very fine granular F: fine granular M: medium granular C: coarse granular VC: very coarse granular	<1 1-2 2-5 5-10 >10
Platelike: soil particles arranged around a horizontal plane and generally bounded by relatively flat horizontal surfaces	Platy (PL): peds flat or platelike; horizontal planes more or less well developed	VF: very fine platyF: fine platyM: medium platyC: coarse platyVC: very coarse platy	<1 1-2 2-5 5-10 >10
PL Prismlike: soil particles arranged around a vertical axis and bounded by relatively flat vertical surfaces.	Prismatic (PR): vertical faces of peds well defined and vertices ² angular (edges sharp); prism tops essentially flat	 VF: very fine prismatic F: fine prismatic M: medium prismatic C: coarse prismatic VC: very coarse prismatic 	<10 10-20 20-50 50-100 >100
PR	Columnar (COL): vertical edges near top of columns not sharp (vertices ² subrounded); column tops flat, rounded, or irregular	VF: very fine columnarF: fine columnarM: medium columnarC: coarse columnarVC: very coarse prismatic	<10 10-20 20-50 50-100 >100
Structureless: no observable aggregation of primary	Single grained (SGR):	Loose, incoherent mass of indiversal particles, as in sands	vidual primary
particles or no definite orderly arrangement around natural lines of weakness MA	Massive (MA):	amorphous; a coherent mass showing any distinct arrangement of soil part into clusters of particles; not peds	no evidence of ticles; separates

Cloddy (CDY): not a structure; used to indicate the condition of some ploughed surface, grade, class, and shape too varied to be described in standard terms.

• Loose:	No intact sample can be obtained.
• Friable:	Structure breaks down with slight force between the fingers.
• Firm:	Structure breaks down with moderate force between the fingers.
• Extremely firm:	Structure breaks down with moderate force between the hands or slight foot pressure.
• Rigid:	Structure breaks down only with foot pressure.

The size limits refer to measurements in the smallest dimension of platy, prismatic, and columnar peds and to the largest of the nearly equal dimensions of blocky and granular peds.
 Definition of vertex (plural, vertices): the intersection of two planes of a geometrical figure.

Structure Grade Descriptions

Code		Structure Grade Definition
0	Massive /or single grained used to describe sands	This describes a soil that has no developed structure. There is no aggregation of primary particles or no definite orderly arrangement around natural lines of weakness.
1	Weak	Peds are either indistinct and barely evident in place, or observable in place but incompletely separated from adjacent peds. When disturbed, the soil material separates into a mixture of only a few entire peds, many broken peds and much unaggregated material.
2	Moderate	Peds are moderately durable, and are evident but not distinct in the undisturbed soil. When disturbed, the soil material parts into a mixture of many well formed, entire peds, some broken peds, and little unaggregated material. The peds may be handled without breaking and they part from adjoining peds to reveal nearly entire surfaces which have properties distinct from those caused by fracturing.
3	Strong	Peds are durable and evident in the undisturbed soil, adhere weakly to one another, withstand displacement and separate cleanly when the soil is disturbed. When removed, the soil material separates mainly into entire peds. Surfaces of unbroken peds have distinctive properties, compared to surfaces that result from fracturing.

Mottling Descriptions

Parameter	Code	Description
Abundance	Few	<2% of the exposed surface
	Common	2-20% of the exposed surface
	Many	>20% of the exposed surface
Size	Fine	< 5 mm
	Medium	5-15 mm
	Coarse	>15 mm
Contrast	Faint	Evident only on close examination. Faint mottle commonly have the same hue as the colour to which they are compared and differ by no more than 1 unit of chroma or 2 units of value. Some faint mottles of similar but low chroma and value can differ by 2.5 units of hue.
	Distinct	Readily seen, but contrast only moderately with the colour to which they are compared. Distinct mottle commonly have the same hue as the colour to which they are compared, but differ by 2 to 4 units of chroma or 3 to 4 units of value; or differ from the colour to which they are compared by 2.5 units of hue but by no ore than 1 units of chroma or 2 units of value.
	Prominent	Contrast strongly with the colour to which they are compared. Prominent mottles are commonly the most obvious colour feature in a soil. Prominent mottles that have medium chroma and value commonly differ from the colour to which they are compared by at least 5 unit of hue if chroma and value are the same; or at least 1 un of chroma or 2 units of value if hue differs by 2.5 units.

